

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/711,756	10/01/2004		Jeff DeFazio	SunReader	5755	
23217	7590	12/22/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
GLENN L.			VARGOT, M	VARGOT, MATHIEU D		
P.O BOX 951 CONIFER, CO 80433				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1732		
				DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	
o So	
vv	

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/711,756	DEFAZIO, JEFF		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Mathieu D. Vargot	1732		

	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 07 December 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI						
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliantime periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice wing replies: (1) an amendment, otice of Appeal (with appeal fee)	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	later than SIX MONTHS from the ma	iling date of the final rejecti	on.			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	'06.07(f).					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ctension and the corresponding amous shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The approproriginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must	be filed within two montl	ns of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of th				
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further compared to the first of the proposed amendment (s).</li> </ol>			ecause			
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	NOTE Delow),				
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	• •	reducing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.7	l16 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-	Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	):					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separa	te, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.  The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>NONE</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>21-33</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>1-20</u> .						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessal	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control	on of the status of the claims afte	r entry is below or attacl	ned.			
<ol> <li>The request for reconsideration has been considered by <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u></li> </ol>	ut does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape	r No(s)				
13.		M. Varget	•			
		Mathieu D. Vargot Primary Examiner				
		Art Unit: 1732				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The aspect of the second lens blank being within said first lens blank as set forth in claims 21, 32 and 33 constitutes a new issue at this point.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: As noted supra, it raises issue of new matter. The rejection concerning the aspect of disguising focal powers has been addressed. It is noted that the divisional applications 10/904,173 and 10/904,175 have been allowed. The instant application would also be allowed with all independent claims limited so as to be commensurate in scope therewith-- ie, the limiting of the claims to --1) the making of a lens assembly for sunglasses; 2) the making of the lens assembly with an outer lens of one power and an inner lens within the outer lens of another, different power; 3) dyeing the outer and inner lenses with pigmentation so that light transmission therethrough is limited; and 4) coating the outer and inner lenses so that the differeing focal powers of each are disguised to an observer. Essentially, applicant has already claimed these aspects in the independent lens claims of the copending divisionals and it is believed that these aspects in combination would be what makes the instant application allowable.